

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/616,028	07/	09/2003	Mark E. Addis	EH-10778	1533	
30188	7590	05/20/2004		EXAMINER		
	WHITNEY	•	PEAVEY,	PEAVEY, ENOCH E		
400 MAIN STREET MAIL STOP: 132-13				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
EAST HARTFORD, CT 06108				3676	3676	
				DATE MAILED: 05/20/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/616,028	ADDIS, MARK E.	TO			
		Examiner	/ Art Unit				
		Enoch E Peavey	3676				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE f this communication apport Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address -	-			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replector of the reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely, om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.			
Status							
1)[🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 Ju	uly 2003.					
-	_						
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage				
2) Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date 9 July 2003.	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/616,028

Art Unit: 3676

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

I. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States
- A. Claims 1, 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kelch et al. US No. 5,201,530 ("Kelch").
- i. Kelch discloses a brush seal (FIG. 2), comprising:

a back plate (15);

a side plate (14);

a first set of bristles (16); and

a second set of bristles (20) between the first set of bristles (16) and the side plate (14); wherein the second set of bristles (20) have a characteristic, different than the first set of bristles, that reduces windage effects on the first set of bristles (Col. 3, lines 30-31).

- ii. The sets of bristles have non-interfering lay angles (FIG. 5).
- iii. The second set of bristles (20) extends at least to the back plate (15). The first and second sets of bristles comprise separate bristle packs (FIG. 2).
- B. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11, 12, 14, 15 and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Flower, US No. 5,480,165 ("Flower").
- i. Flower discloses a brush seal (FIG. 6), comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/616,028

Art Unit: 3676

a back plate (15);

a side plate (21);

- ii. There is a set of contacting bristles (19) that engages the second component (11); and a set of non-contacting bristles (17) that extends towards, but does not engage, the second component (11).
- iii. The set of non-contacting bristles (17) resides between the set of contacting bristles (19) and the side plate (21).
- iv. The sets of bristles have non-interfering lay angles (FIG. 4).
- v. The set of non-contacting bristles (17) is more rigid (Col. 5, lines 41 and 42) than the set of contacting bristles (19).
- vi. The set of non-contacting bristles (17) have a diameter larger than bristles in the set of contacting bristles (FIG. 6).
- vii. The set of contacting bristles (19) and the set of non-contacting bristles (17) comprise separate bristle packs (FIG. 6).
- viii. The non-contacting bristles (17) extends at least to the back plate (15).
- iv. The set of longer bristles (19) adjacent a set of shorter bristles (17), wherein the improvement comprises the shorter bristles (17) located on a high pressure side (Col. 5, lines 54-55) of the longer bristles (19).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

II. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/616,028 Page 4

Art Unit: 3676

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- A. Claims 6 and 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flower.
- i. Flower does not disclose the lay angle being between –15 and 15 degrees.
- ii. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Flower, making the lay angle between –15 and 15 degrees, to find the optimum range in which sealing occurs, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involve only routine skill in the art. *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233,235 (CCPA 1955)
- B. Claims 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelch in view of Fellenstein, US No. 6,457,719 ("Fellen").
- Kelch discloses substantially the same seal as applicant except there being a windage cover.
- ii. Fellen discloses a windage cover in order to allow deflection of the brushes upstream (FIG. 2).
- iii. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Kelch as taught by Fellen in order to allow deflection of the brushes upstream (FIG. 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/616,028 Page 5

Art Unit: 3676

C. Claims 10 and 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flower in view of Fellen.

- i. Flower discloses substantially the same seal as applicant except there being a windage cover.
- ii. Fellen discloses a windage cover in order to allow deflection of the brushes upstream (FIG. 2).
- iii. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to modify Flower as taught by Fellen in order to allow deflection of the brushes upstream (FIG. 2).
- IV. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Enoch E Peavey whose telephone number is 305 1977. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00 am to 4:30 am.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Judy Swann can be reached on (703) 306-4115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/616,028

Art Unit: 3676

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Enoch E Peavey Art Unit 3676

May 16, 2004